UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 00-6356-CR-ZLOCH

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA	
Plaintiff,				
v.				
MICHAEL McKAY,				
	Defe	enda	ant.	



GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO THE STANDING DISCOVERY ORDER

The United States hereby files this response to the Standing Discovery Order. This response also complies with Local Rule 88.10 and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16, and is numbered to correspond with Local Rule 88.10.

- Attached, please find a copy of transcript of a recorded statement made by the defendant to INS officials.
 - 2. That portion of the written record containing the substance of any oral statement made by the defendant before or after arrest in response to interrogation by any person then known to the defendant to be a government agent is attached.
 - 3. No defendant testified before the Grand Jury.
 - 4. The NCIC record of the defendant is attached. In 1993, Defendant was arrested for criminal possession of marijuana under the name "Michael P. Wright". In 1994, Defendant was arrested for criminal sale and possession of marijuana under the name "Michael P. Wright". In 2000, Defendant was

)H

arrested for illegal entry and false claim to US citizenship.

5. Books, papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, buildings or places which the government intends to use as evidence at trial to prove its case in chief, or were obtained or belonging to the defendant may be inspected at a mutually convenient time at the Office of the United States Attorney, 500 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 700, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394.

The attachments to this discovery response are not necessarily copies of all the books, papers, documents, etc., that the government may intend to introduce at trial.

- There were no physical or mental examinations or scientific tests or experiments made in connection with this case.
- B. DEMAND FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY: The United States requests the disclosure and production of materials enumerated as items 1, 2 and 3 of Section B of the Standing Discovery Order. This request is also made pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
- C. The government will disclose any information or material which may be favorable on the issues of guilt or punishment within the scope of <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and <u>United States v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97 (1976).
- D. The government will disclose any payments, promises of immunity, leniency, preferential treatment, or other inducements made to prospective government witnesses, within the scope of <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), or <u>Napue v. Illinois</u>, 360 U.S. 264 (1959).
- E. The government will disclose any prior convictions of any alleged co-conspirator, accomplice or informant who will testify for the government at trial.
- F. No defendant was identified in a lineup, show up,

photo spread or similar identification proceedings.

- G. The government has advised its agents and officers involved in this case to preserve all rough notes.
- H. The government will timely advise the defendant of its intent, if any, to introduce during its case in chief proof of evidence pursuant to F.R.E. 404(b). You are hereby on notice that all evidence made available to you for inspection, as well as all statements disclosed herein or in any future discovery letter, may be offered in the trial of this cause, under F.R.E. 404(b) or otherwise (including the inextricably-intertwined doctrine).
- The defendant is not an aggrieved person, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2510(11), of any electronic surveillance.
- J. The government has ordered transcribed the Grand Jury testimony of all witnesses who will testify for the government at the trial of this cause.
- K. No contraband is involved in this indictment.
- L. The government does not know of any automobile, vessel, or aircraft allegedly used in the commission of this offense that is in the government's possession.
- M. Known fingerprints of the defendant were taken and sent to FBI Fingerprint Identification Division. The FBI indicated a match was made with fingerprints on file under the name "Michael Wright".
- N. To date, the government has not received a request for disclosure of the subject-matter of expert testimony that the government reasonably expects to offer at trial.
- O. The government will make every possible effort in good faith to stipulate to all facts or points of law the truth and existence of which is not contested and the early resolution of which will expedite trial. These stipulations will be discussed at the discovery conference.

P. At the discovery conference,, the government will seek written stipulations to agreed facts in this case, to be signed by the defendant and defense counsel.

The government is aware of its continuing duty to disclose such newly discovered additional information required by the Standing Discovery Order, Rule 16(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Brady, Giglio, Napue, and the obligation to assure a fair trial.

In addition to the request made above by the government pursuant to both Section B of the Standing Discovery Order and Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, in accordance with Rule 12.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the government hereby demands Notice of Alibi defense; the approximate time, date, and place of the offense was:

Date: November 22, 2000

Place: Fort Lauderdale International Airport

Respectfully submitted,

GUY A. LEWIS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

DAURENCE M. BARDFELD

Assistant United States Attorney Florida Bar No. 712450 500 East Broward Boulevard, #700 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33394 Tel: (954) 356-7255, ext. 3510

Fax: (954) 356-7336

cc: SA Angela Lear, INS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed/hand-delivered this 28 day of December, 2000, to: Samuel Smargon, Assistant Federal Public Defender, 101 NE 3rd Avenue, Suite 202, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301.

Laurence M. Bardfeld

Assistant United States Attorney